INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764



http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

## FILED

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION	)	
OF THE CITY OF GARY, INDIANA	)	NOV 0 4 2004
REQUESTING THE INDIANA UTILITY	)	
REGULATORY COMMISSION TO	)	INDIANA UTILITY
ESTABLISH THE TERMS AND	)	REGULATORY COMMISSION
CONDITIONS OF THE SALE OF	)	
CERTAIN PROPERTY OF NORTHERN	)	CAUSE NO. 42643
INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	)	
TO THE CITY OF GARY AND FOR A	)	
DETERMINATION OF THE VALUE OF	)	
SUCH PROPERTY UNDER INDIANA	)	
CODE SECTIONS 8-1-2-92 AND 8-1-2-93	)	,
RESPONDENT: NORTHERN INDIANA	)	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	ĺ	

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") caused the following entry to be made in this Cause:

On October 12, 2004, the NIPSCO Industrial Group ("Industrial Group" or "Intervenor") filed a *Verified Motion for Leave to File Expert Testimony and Exhibits Under Seal* ("Motion"). In its Motion the Industrial Group indicated that portions of the prefiled testimony of Nicholas Phillips contain information designated as "confidential" by Northern Indiana Public Service Company, Inc., ("NIPSCO"). The Motion was not supported by an affidavit from NIPSCO regarding the information the company designated as confidential, and was not ruled on by the Presiding Officers.

On November 3, 2004, the Industrial Group filed a *Motion for Leave to File Unredacted Prefiled Case in Chief of Intervenor, the NIPSCO Industrial Group* ("Motion for Leave"). In its Motion for Leave the Industrial Group indicated that it had been advised by NIPSCO that the company had removed the confidential designation from Exhibit NP-2, which was the subject of the request for confidentiality in the original Motion filed by the Industrial Group. Accordingly, the Industrial Group requested leave of the Commission to file an unredacted version of its prefiled testimony (which is attached as "Exhibit A" to the Motion for Leave).

The Presiding Officers have reviewed the Motion for Leave and hereby find that it should be GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

Scott R. Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: Movember 4, 2004